

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,317	10/10/2000	Daniel L. Nower	53199.US	4835
408	7590 06/16/2003			
LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, P.C.			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 187 KNOXVILLE			LAU, TUNG S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-0			$\langle \alpha \rangle$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VC
Advisory Action	09/685,317	NOWER ET AL.	
navicely nearly	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tung S Lau	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee dension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:		•	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	• •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or to could be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered low or appended.	l and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exa	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. the applicant argue the final rejection not nesessitated by applicant's amendment of the claim and final rejection is premature. The final rejection is not premature because the new added claims in amendment by the applicant on 1/28/2003 introduced new issues (new added claims 23, 25 and independent claim 24) and therefore new ground of rejection is nesessitated by applicant's amendment and final rejection is proper. See MPEP 706.07A.

B. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation of claim 11 has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

- C. The Applicant also argue the prior art does not disclose the 'angular position sensing for aligning the centerline of the first shaft, then sensing is a accelerometer'. Rider discloses 'angular position sensing for aligning the centerline of the first shaft, then sensing is a accelerometer' in Col. 2, Lines 12-35 and Col. 3, Lines 3-25, Col. 4-5, Lines 40-15).
- D. Proposed claims 13, 14 and 25 amendment will not be entered because they raise new issue and required future consideration and search.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL June 11, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800